

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 275 (2007) 183-193

www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

Dehydration of methanol to dimethyl ether over Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ catalysts: Microcalorimetric and FT-IR studies

Qing Sun^{a,b}, Yuchuan Fu^a, Haixia Yang^a, Aline Auroux^{b,*}, Jianyi Shen^{a,**}

^a Lab of Mesoscopic Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China ^b Institut de Recherches sur la Catalyse et l'Environnement de Lyon, UMR 5256, CNRS-Université Lyon 1, 2 Avenue Albert Einstein,

69626 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

Received 15 May 2007; accepted 11 June 2007 Available online 16 June 2007

Abstract

The unique properties of Nb₂O₅ as a solid acid have led to catalytic applications in various reactions catalyzed by acids. The surface acidity and stability of Nb₂O₅ can be enhanced by the addition of phosphate ions. In this work, amorphous Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ samples were synthesized. The interactions of ammonia, methanol, water and dimethyl ether with Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were investigated by means of adsorption microcalorimetry, adsorption infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) and temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) techniques. The results of microcalorimetry and FT-IR for NH₃ adsorption have shown that NbOPO₄ is much more acidic than Nb₂O₅ due to its higher surface area, and that both Brønsted and Lewis acid sites are present on the surface of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄. Water adsorption microcalorimetry results indicate that a small amount of water was strongly chemisorbed on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ while most of the adsorbed water corresponded to physical adsorption. The results of methanol adsorption microcalorimetry, methanol adsorption FT-IR and methanol TPD suggest that methanol is mainly strongly dissociatively adsorbed on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ to form methoxy species and DME could be produced from the dehydration of methoxy species. DME adsorption microcalorimetry and FT-IR showed that DME was mainly molecularly chemically adsorbed on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄, while a small amount of DME was dissociatively adsorbed. The probe molecules (NH₃, methanol, H₂O and DME) used in this work were adsorbed more strongly on NbOPO₄ than on Nb₂O₅ because of the stronger acidity of NbOPO₄. In the reaction of methanol dehydration, although Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were not as active as a H-ZSM-5 zeolite, they exhibited 100% selectivity to the DME product and a good stability of the activity in the temperature range relevant to the reaction (453–573 K), without coke formation.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Nb₂O₅; Niobium phosphate; Methanol; DME; Adsorption microcalorimetry; Acidity

1. Introduction

Dimethyl ether (DME) has been widely used as an aerosol propellant to replace chlorofluoro carbons [1]. Additionally, it is an important chemical intermediate for producing many valuable chemicals such as lower olefins, methyl acetate, dimethyl sulfate, \dots [2,3]. In recent years, it has attracted global attention as a potential clean fuel substitute for LPG and diesel oil, due to its cleanness, non-toxicity and environmentally benign behavior [4,5].

DME can be synthesized by dehydration of methanol over solid acidic catalysts [6–9]. It can also be synthesized directly from syngas via a so-called STD (syngas to DME) process by employing a hybrid catalyst comprising a methanol synthesis component and a solid acid [10,11], which is more attractive in consideration of the equilibrium limitation. Typically, a Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalyst is used as the methanol synthesis component in the hybrid catalyst [11,12], and the solid acids used for the dehydration of methanol are H-ZSM-5, H-Y zeolites, γ -Al₂O₃, modified γ -Al₂O₃, silica-alumina, etc. [8,9,11,13,14].

Among the solid acids used for methanol dehydration, H-ZSM-5 and γ -Al₂O₃ are the two catalysts that have been studied intensively both for academic and commercial purposes [6,12,15]. H-ZSM-5 has been reported to be an effective methanol dehydration catalyst, but on which hydrocarbon byproducts are generated, thus leading to a decrease of the activ-

^{*} Corresponding author.

^{**} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: aline.auroux@ircelyon.univ-lyon1.fr (A. Auroux), jyshen@nju.edu.cn (J. Shen).

^{1381-1169/\$ –} see front matter © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.molcata.2007.06.008

ity [7,11,15]. This is due to the strong acidity of the H-ZSM-5, which catalyzes the conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons and even coke [11,15]. Although the DME selectivity is high for methanol dehydration on γ -Al₂O₃ [7,15], it exhibits much lower activity than H-ZSM-5 [7,11,15].

Niobium pentoxide (Nb₂O₅) is a white solid, stable in air and insoluble in water. Hydrated niobium oxide (Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O) exhibits strong acidity according to the Hammett titration ($H_0 \le -5.6$). Its acid strength is equivalent to about 70% sulfuric acid [16]. It possesses both Lewis and Brønsted acid sites [17]. It usually exhibits high activity for the acid-catalyzed reactions in which water molecules participate [16,18]. Niobium phosphate (NbOPO₄) has a similar structure to Nb₂O₅ [19], but with a higher acid strength ($H_0 \le -8.2$). Terminal P–OH and Nb–OH groups co-exist at the surface of bulk and impregnated niobium phosphate catalysts [20]. On these materials, the P–OH groups are stronger Brønsted acids than Nb–OH [21]. The Lewis acid sites in niobium phosphate catalysts are the coordinatively unsaturated Nb⁵⁺ cations [21].

Okazaki et al. have reported that the activity of niobium oxide for the reaction of methanol dehydration to DME could be enhanced by the addition of H_3PO_4 on Nb₂O₅ [22].

In this work, amorphous Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ samples were prepared. Adsorption microcalorimetry experiments using different gases (NH₃, methanol, water and DME) as probe molecules were carried out to determine the number and strength of the active sites on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄, and adsorption FT-IR and TPD techniques were used to determine the nature of the adsorbed surface species. The catalytic activities of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were evaluated in the reaction of methanol dehydration to DME.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Nb₂O₅ was prepared by calcining commercial niobic acid (Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O, CBMM, Brazil) at 623 K for 4 h in air flow. A porous niobium phosphate, denoted as NbOPO₄, was prepared by following a previously described procedure [23]. Specifically, 2.73 g of NbCl₅ (Alfa Aesar, 99%) was partially hydrolyzed in 50 ml H₂O, and 2.30 g H₃PO₄ (Aldrich, 85% aqueous solution) was added, upon which a vigorous hydrolytic reaction occurred. An additional 50 ml H₂O was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, after which an aqueous solution of ammonia (25%) was added to adjust the pH of the reaction mixture to 2.60. After stirring for a few minutes, the slurry was filtered and washed with deionized water to obtain a chlorideless gel. The gel was mixed with 10 ml H₂O and 1.45 g hexadecylamine (Aldrich, 90%) and stirred for 30 min. Then, 0.92 g H₃PO₄ (85%) was added and the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 3.9. After stirring for 30 min, the gel was heated in a Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave at 338 K under autogenous pressure for 2 days. The final product was filtered, washed with deionized water, dried at 373 K overnight and calcined at 625 K in N2 for 12 h, then in air up to 723 K for 40 h.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out on a Bruker D5005 diffractometer scanning from 3° to 80° (2 θ) at a rate of 0.02° s⁻¹ using a Cu K α radiation (λ = 0.15418 nm) source. The applied voltage and current were 50 kV and 35 mA, respectively. The surface area and pore size were measured by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K after heat pretreatment under vacuum at 673 K for 4 h. Elemental analysis was performed using ICP atomic emission spectroscopy (Spectroflame-ICP D, Spectro). The X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were measured on a SSI 301 instrument equipped with a hemispherical electron analyzer and an Al anode (Al K α = 1486.6 eV) powered at 100 W. The residual pressure in the spectrometer chamber was 5 × 10⁻⁸ Pa during data acquisition.

The microcalorimetric studies of methanol, water and DME adsorption were performed at 303 K, while ammonia adsorption was performed at 423 K, in a heat flow calorimeter (C80 from Setaram) linked to a conventional volumetric apparatus equipped with a Barocel capacitance manometer for pressure measurements. All the gases used for measurements (purity > 99.9%) were purified by successive freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Before any adsorption, about 100 mg of sample was pretreated in a quartz calorimetric cell under evacuation overnight at 623 K. The differential heats of adsorption were measured as a function of coverage by repeatedly introducing small doses of gas or vapor onto the catalyst until an equilibrium pressure of about 66 Pa was reached [24]. The sample was then outgassed for 30 min at the same temperature and a second adsorption was performed at the same temperature until an equilibrium pressure of about 27 Pa was attained; this made it possible to calculate the irreversibly chemisorbed amount of probe molecule at this pressure.

Temperature-programmed desorption of water (or methanol) was performed on a Setaram TG-DSC 111 equipment coupled with a mass spectrometer (Thermostar from Pfeifer) as a detector. A capillary-coupling system was used. The TPD experiments were carried out in a flow, with helium as the carrier gas (10 ml min^{-1}) . For each experiment, about 30 mg of a sample containing water (or methanol) absorbed in a previously performed microcalorimetric experiment was used. The sample was heated at 5 K min⁻¹ in helium up to 873 K. During this temperature increase, the gas phase composition was analyzed by mass spectrometry.

The gas adsorption FT-IR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Vector 22 FT-IR spectrophotometer (DTGS detector) in the 4000–400 cm⁻¹ range, with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ and 50 acquisition scans. The self-supporting wafer (10–30 mg, 18 mm diameter) was first activated in an in situ IR cell at 673 K in O₂ flow for 12 h, evacuated at the same temperature for 2 h, and then exposed to the different gases (NH₃, methanol and dimethyl ether) (purity > 99.9%) at room temperature for 5 min. The desorption was carried out by evacuation for 30 min each at 300, 373, 473, 523, 573 and 673 K, respectively. A spectrum was recorded at room temperature after desorption at each temperature.



Fig. 1. XRD pattern of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ catalysts.

2.3. Catalytic reaction

The dehydration of methanol was carried out in a fixed microreactor made of stainless steel with an inner diameter of 6 mm. Methanol was introduced into the reaction zone by bubbling N₂ (99.999%) through a glass saturator filled with methanol (AR) maintained at 303 K. In each test, 0.2 g catalyst was loaded, and the gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) was 3400 ml g⁻¹ h⁻¹. The feed composition was maintained at methanol:N₂ = 21:79. The tail gas out of the reactor was analyzed 30 min after reaching the reaction temperature by an on-line GC equipped with an FID detector. The column used was PEG 20M for the separation of methanol, DME and other organic compounds. The gas lines were kept at 383 K to prevent condensation of the reactant and products. The reaction was carried out at atmospheric pressure.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Structural characterizations

The XRD results shown in Fig. 1 indicate that the Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ samples studied in this work were amorphous. They mainly exhibited two broad 2θ peaks around 25° and 52° . The peaks were shifted to lower angles for NbOPO₄, implying some difference in bonding in NbOPO₄ compared to Nb₂O₅.

Results of bulk and surface elemental analysis, as well as the surface area and porosity data for the samples, are given in Table 1. NbOPO₄ presented a much higher surface area $(511 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$ than Nb₂O₅ $(110 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$, since NbOPO₄ was



Fig. 2. Differential heat vs. coverage (per g of catalyst) for NH_3 adsorption at 423 K over Nb_2O_5 and $NbOPO_4$ catalysts.

prepared by using a template. The pore diameter of NbOPO₄ (4.4 nm) was slightly smaller than that of Nb₂O₅ (6.0 nm), but the pore volume of NbOPO₄ (0.56 ml g⁻¹) was much larger than for Nb₂O₅ (0.16 ml g⁻¹). The chemical analysis showed a Nb/P atomic ratio of 1:1 in NbOPO₄. XPS results showed that P might be in slight excess on the surface (see Table 1).

Previous data had already shown the non-oxidative properties of Nb_2O_5 and $NbOPO_4$, evidenced by the absence of any hydrogen consumption peaks until 1100 K in temperatureprogrammed reduction experiments [25].

3.2. Adsorption microcalorimetry

The surface acidity in terms of number of acid sites and site strengths was determined by ammonia adsorption microcalorimetry, and the results are presented in Fig. 2. Methanol, water and DME adsorption microcalorimetry measurements were carried out to determine the number, strength and strength distribution of the surface sites on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ and the results are shown in Figs. 3–6. Table 2 summarizes quantitative results for the initial heats of adsorption, irreversibly adsorbed volumes and total adsorbed volumes probed by different molecules (ammonia, methanol, water and DME) per square meter of surface (μ mol m⁻²) and per gram of sample (μ mol g⁻¹) under an equilibrium pressure of 27 Pa.

3.2.1. NH₃ adsorption microcalorimetry

 Nb_2O_5 can be regarded as a strong acid according to Hammett titration [16]. It has been shown that its surface acidity

Table 1

Chemical analysis, X-ray photoelectronic spectroscopy analysis, BET surface area, average pore radius, and pore volume of the samples

| Sample | C.A. (wt%) | | XPS (wt%) | | BET $(m^2 g^{-1})$ | Average pore diameter (nm) | Pore volume $(ml g^{-1})$ |
|--------------------------------|------------|------|-----------|------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Nb | Р | Nb | Р | | | |
| Nb ₂ O ₅ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 110 | 6.0 | 0.16 |
| NbOPO ₄ | 42.6 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 511 | 4.4 | 0.56 |



Fig. 3. Differential heat vs. coverage (per g of catalyst) for H_2O adsorption at 303 K over Nb_2O_5 and $NbOPO_4$ catalysts.



Fig. 4. Differential heat vs. coverage (per square meter of catalyst) for NH_3 adsorption at 423 K and H_2O adsorption at 303 K over Nb_2O_5 and $NbOPO_4$ catalysts.



Fig. 5. Differential heat vs. coverage (per g of catalyst) for methanol adsorption at 303 K over Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ catalysts.



Fig. 6. Differential heat vs. coverage (per g of catalyst) for DME adsorption at 303 K over Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ catalysts.

Table 2

Number and strength of acid sites as determined by adsorption microcalorimetry under an equilibrium pressure of 27 Pa at 423 K

| | • | | • • | • | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Sample | Probe | $V_{\text{tot}}^{a} (27 \text{ Pa})$ $(\mu \text{mol } \text{g}^{-1})$ | V_{tot}^{a} (27 Pa) (µmol m ⁻²) | $V_{\rm irr}^{\rm b} (27 \rm Pa) (\mu mol g^{-1})$ | $V_{irr}^{b} (27 \text{ Pa}) (\mu \text{mol } \text{m}^{-2})$ | Q_{init}^{c} (kJ mol ⁻¹) | $Q_{\rm int}^{\rm d}$ (27 Pa) (J g ⁻¹) |
| Nb ₂ O ₅ | NH ₃ | 208 | 1.9 | 89 | 0.8 | 165 | 22.4 |
| NbOPO ₄ | | 716 | 1.4 | 298 | 0.6 | 165 | 76.5 |
| Nb ₂ O ₅ | CH ₃ OH | 483 | 4.4 | 341 | 3.1 | 142 | 44.0 |
| NbOPO ₄ | | 1365 | 2.7 | 977 | 1.9 | 148 | 126.1 |
| Nb ₂ O ₅ | DME | 184 | 1.7 | 117 | 1.1 | 130 | 18.5 |
| NbOPO ₄ | | 499 | 1.0 | 300 | 0.6 | 133 | 48.6 |
| Nb ₂ O ₅ | H ₂ O | 526 | 4.8 | 166 | 1.5 | 179 | 42.0 |
| NbOPO ₄ | | 1260 | 2.5 | 411 | 0.8 | 189 | 101.2 |

^a Total amount of NH₃, methanol, DME and water retained as determined at 27 Pa of equilibrium pressure.

^b "Irreversible" amount of NH₃, methanol, DME and water retained as determined from the difference between the amounts adsorbed in the first and second adsorptions at 27 Pa, which represents the amount of strong sites.

^c Heat evolved from the first NH₃, methanol, DME and water dose.

^d Integral heat evolved at 27 Pa of NH₃, methanol, DME and water equilibrium pressure.

can be enhanced and stabilized via addition of phosphate ions [19]. In this work, the initial heat and saturation coverage for ammonia adsorption on Nb₂O₅ were found to be about 165 kJ mol^{-1} and $250 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{g}^{-1}$, respectively (see Fig. 2). The initial heat did not vary with the addition of PO₄³⁻ into Nb₂O₅, However, the saturation coverage of NH₃ on NbOPO₄ was found to be about $850 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{g}^{-1}$, much higher than for Nb₂O₅, which is apparently due to the high surface area of NbOPO₄. In fact, when the surface coverage is expressed in terms of the amount of ammonia adsorbed on a unit surface area, the coverage on NbOPO₄ was lower than Nb₂O₅ (see Fig. 4 and Table 2).

3.2.2. H₂O adsorption microcalorimetry

Polar molecules with different gas phase affinities such as alcohol and water are usually used to measure the hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties of the surface of materials [26–30]. The differential heat and saturation coverage reflect the proton affinity and the strength of H-bonds or van der Waals forces on those samples.

The results of H₂O adsorption in terms of number and strength of adsorption sites on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ are shown in Fig. 3. The initial heats for H₂O adsorption on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were very high, 179 and 189 kJ mol^{-1} , respectively. With the increase of H₂O coverage, the differential heats first dropped sharply and then decreased gradually until the saturation coverage was reached at 67 Pa of equilibrium pressure (763 μ mol g⁻¹ for Nb₂O₅ and 1800 μ mol g⁻¹ for NbOPO₄). For example, on Nb₂O₅, the differential heat of H₂O adsorption first decreased dramatically to around 80 kJ mol^{-1} , for a H₂O coverage of 1.5 μ mol m⁻² (see Fig. 4), then it decreased slowly until it reached a value close to the latent heat of liquefaction of water (44 kJ mol^{-1}) . Bolis et al. have suggested that the high differential heat values ($Q_{\text{diff}} > 100 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) observed at low coverage are compatible with the energy of coordination of H₂O on Lewis acid sites, while adsorbed water on a Brønsted site acts as an H-bond acceptor of the Brønsted acidic proton with a differential heat of the order of 70 kJ mol^{-1} [31]. Therefore, in this work, H₂O might be adsorbed first on the small amount of coordinatively unsaturated Nb⁵⁺ cations which act as Lewis acid sites, and then on Brønsted sites or on strongly polarized H₂O molecules already adsorbed on Lewis sites, as suggested in the literature [31].

The differential heats versus coverage per square meter for NH₃ adsorption and H₂O adsorption on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ are compared in Fig. 4. It can be seen that for both NH₃ and H₂O adsorption, the coverage per square meter of surface was higher on Nb₂O₅ than on NbOPO₄. Moreover, the coverage for water adsorption was much higher than for ammonia adsorption, which suggests a larger amount of physically adsorbed H₂O on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄. It has been reported in the literature that the initial heats for water adsorption are 60 kJ mol⁻¹ on silica [27], 160 kJ mol⁻¹ on H-BEA zeolites [31] and 100 kJ mol⁻¹ on H-ZSM-5 [32]. Thus, the Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ wore so than Nb₂O₅.

3.2.3. Methanol adsorption microcalorimetry

Fig. 5 shows the results of methanol adsorption on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄. The initial heats of adsorption and saturation coverage were found to be about 142 kJ mol^{-1} and $533 \,\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ on Nb₂O₅, respectively, while NbOPO₄ exhibited a slightly higher initial heat of 148 kJ mol^{-1} and a much higher methanol coverage of $1563 \,\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ due to its large surface area. However, the methanol coverage in terms of the amount per unit surface area on NbOPO₄ was lower than on Nb₂O₅, in agreement with what was observed for NH₃ adsorption (see Table 2). Both Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ strongly interact with methanol as indicated by the differential heats, which are higher than those on silica ($Q_{\text{init}} = 60 \text{ to } 90 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) [26,28,32–34] and H-ZSM-5 ($Q_{\text{init}} = 115 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) [32] but lower than on γ -Al₂O₃ ($Q_{\text{init}} > 200 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) [35].

It has been reported in the literature that there exist different methanol adsorption heat steps on silica [34] and on γ -Al₂O₃ [35] at low and high coverage, indicating different methanol adsorption modes on them. Adsorption occurring at low coverage might be strong chemical adsorption, while adsorption at high coverage corresponds to physical adsorption in which hydrogen bonding plays an important role [35]. However, in the present work, the differential heats of methanol adsorption on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ decreased monotonically with increasing methanol coverage, and there was no apparent plateau observed in methanol adsorption heats. Note that outgassing at 673 K overnight (the pretreatment conditions used in this study) might remove more weak surface hydroxyl groups compared to the pretreatment conditions used in the literature (outgassing at 600 K for 2 h) [34]. Therefore, only quite strong acid sites remained and methanol adsorbed strongly on them.

In addition, by comparing the total adsorbed methanol volume (V_{tot}) with the irreversibly adsorbed volume (V_{irr}) (see Table 2), it is clearly shown that most of adsorbed methanol was strongly chemisorbed on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄. Moreover, both the total adsorbed methanol volume (V_{tot}) and the irreversibly adsorbed volume (V_{irr}) were much larger than those for NH₃ adsorption which titrates the surface acid sites. For example, on Nb_2O_5 , the total adsorbed methanol volume (V_{tot}) and the irreversibly adsorbed volume (V_{irr}) were 483 and 341 μ mol g⁻¹, respectively, while those for NH₃ adsorption were only 208 and 89 μ mol g⁻¹. This might suggest that methanol was mainly dissociatively adsorbed on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄, since the water formed by the dissociative adsorption of methanol might hydrate the surface of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄, thereby generating new hydroxyl groups favoring further methanol adsorption.

3.2.4. DME adsorption microcalorimetry

DME was also used as a basic molecule to probe the surface acidity on the catalyst. The bridged oxygen in the DME molecule has unshared pairs of electrons and can act as electron donor.

Very few articles in the literature discuss DME adsorption microcalorimetry. It has been reported that DME is a better probe molecule than NH_3 in adsorption microcalorimetry for studying the acidity of sulfated metal oxides [36]. It is believed that the

difference between NH_3 and DME adsorption is probably due to the different polarizabilities of these two probe molecules [37]. The basicity and polarizability of DME are more similar to those of light paraffins than to NH_3 . So, DME could provide a more specific way to distinguish the interactions of solid acids with paraffins [37].

In this work, as depicted in Fig. 6, the initial heats for DME adsorption on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were 130 and 133 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. The corresponding saturation coverages for DME adsorption on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were 200 and 547 μ mol m⁻¹ at an equilibrium pressure of 67 Pa, respectively. Measurements of the initial heats of DME adsorption on zirconium sulfate and iron sulfate have been reported in the literature, with values of 140 and 105 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively [36]. This suggests that the acidities of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ are stronger than that of iron sulfate but weaker than that of zirconium sulfate. It should be noted that the irreversible adsorption volumes (see Table 2) for DME adsorption on Nb₂O₅ (117 μ mol g⁻¹) and NbOPO₄ (300 μ mol g⁻¹) were close to those for NH₃ adsorption (89 μ mol g⁻¹ for Nb₂O₅ and 298 μ mol g⁻¹ for NbOPO₄), which might suggest that one DME molecule is adsorbed on each acid site.

3.3. Temperature-programmed desorption

3.3.1. Water-TPD

Fig. 7 shows the H₂O-TPD results for the Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ samples. Both of them exhibited a broad desorption peak which revealed the heterogeneous strength distribution of the adsorption sites on them, in agreement with the results of H₂O adsorption. It is usually not accurate to rank the sites strengths by simply comparing the desorption maxima because of complex diffusion effects, especially for porous materials. The temperatures of peak maxima were slightly higher for NbOPO₄ than for Nb₂O₅. In addition, the areas of the desorption peaks reflected the relative populations of adsorption sites, which clearly show that NbOPO₄ possessed much more adsorption sites than Nb₂O₅, in good agreement with the microcalorimetric adsorption results.



Fig. 7. Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) profiles of adsorbed H_2O on Nb_2O_5 and $NbOPO_4$ catalysts as studied by TG–MS.



Fig. 8. Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) profiles of adsorbed methanol on Nb₂O₅ (*m/e*: methanol 31, water 18, dimethyl ether 45, methane 15 and 16).

3.3.2. Methanol TPD

The methanol TPD results for Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively. Both Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ desorbed large amounts of water (m/e = 18) which might have been formed by methanol condensation on the surface of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄. However, the possibility of the samples adsorbing some water in air during the transfer from one apparatus to the other could not be excluded. This suggested again the strong hydrophilic properties of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄.

Fig. 8 shows that methanol (m/e = 31) adsorbed on Nb₂O₅ was released with a broad peak maximum around 470 K, followed by DME (m/e = 45), methane (m/e = 16, 15), and additional H₂O (m/e = 18) desorptions at 521, 672 and 679 K, respectively. The weak peak appearing around 529 K for the m/e = 15 signal is due to the second fragmentation of DME in mass detection. From the relative intensities of the peaks, we might propose that a small amount of the adsorbed methanol is weakly bonded to Nb₂O₅, while most of the methanol interacts with Nb₂O₅ leading to the formation of methoxy groups (as seen by FT-IR). These surface methoxy groups further formed



Fig. 9. Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) profiles of adsorbed methanol on NbOPO₄ (m/e: methanol 31, water 18, dimethyl ether 45, methane 15 and 16).



Fig. 10. FT-IR spectra after NH_3 adsorption and desorption at 300 K on Nb_2O_5 and $NbOPO_4$ catalysts.

DME with the increase of temperature, which is in good agreement with the results in the literature. It is interesting to observe that some methoxy species are very stable as they decompose to methane and H₂O at only 672 K. The desorption profile for NbOPO₄ displayed similar features, but the intensities of the peaks were much higher than for Nb₂O₅, as shown in Fig. 9. Additionally, the desorption peaks due to DME and methane both appeared at higher temperatures (532 and 680 K) than on Nb₂O₅, which suggests a better stability of methoxy species on NbOPO₄ than on Nb₂O₅. Note that we did not observe any signal due to CO (m/e = 28) and CO₂ (m/e = 44), suggesting the lack of oxidative properties of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄.

3.4. Adsorption FT-IR

3.4.1. NH₃ adsorption FT-IR

Fig. 10 shows the FT-IR spectra of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ after NH₃ adsorption and desorption at 300 K. Both Brønsted and Lewis acid sites were present on the samples. The bands around 1671 and 1433 cm⁻¹ are due to the deformation vibration of NH₄⁺ formed by the interaction of NH₃ with Brønsted acid sites, while the bands at 1607 and 1259 cm⁻¹ originate from the asymmetric and symmetric deformation vibrations, respectively, for NH₃ coordinated to Nb⁵⁺. Apparently, NbOPO₄ exhibited higher surface B and L acidities than Nb₂O₅, according to the relative intensities of the peaks around 1433 and 1607 cm⁻¹, respectively.

3.4.2. OH groups

The FT-IR spectra of the Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ samples after outgassing from 300 up to 773 K are reported in Figs. 11 and 12. In Fig. 11, the spectrum of Nb₂O₅ after outgassing at 300 K shows a sharp peak at 3706 cm⁻¹ and a broad absorption band centered near 3400 cm⁻¹, which are assigned to the OH stretching mode of free Nb–OH groups and H-bonded hydroxyl groups [21]. The analysis of the lower frequency region showed a band present at 1612 cm⁻¹, due to the scissoring mode of adsorbed molecular water. Outgassing at 423 K caused a decrease of the



Fig. 11. FT-IR absorption bands of OH groups of the Nb_2O_5 desorbed at different temperatures: (a) 300 K, (b) 423 K, (c) 573 K (*inset*: FT-IR absorption bands of OH groups of the Nb_2O_5 and $NbOPO_4$ desorbed at 300 K).

broad absorption band around 3400 cm^{-1} , a notable reduction of the sharper component at 3706 cm^{-1} and a decrease of the intensity for the molecular adsorbed water. Upon evacuation at 573 K, only small features could be observed on Nb₂O₅, suggesting that most of the surface hydroxyl species have been removed.

The spectrum of NbOPO₄ presents a strong sharp band at 3667 cm^{-1} (see Fig. 12) which could be assigned to the OH stretching mode of surface phosphate or pyrophosphate species as reported by Armaroli et al. [21]. This band is also accompanied by a broad band around 3300 cm^{-1} and a band due to scissoring mode of adsorbed molecular water at 1616 cm^{-1} . The bands on NbOPO₄ exhibited much higher intensity than those on Nb₂O₅ (see the comparison in Fig. 11, inset), suggesting the presence of much larger amounts of surface hydroxyl and adsorbed water on NbOPO₄ than on Nb₂O₅. Moreover, upon outgassing at increasing temperature, the surface hydroxyl groups and adsorbed water on NbOPO₄ were much more stable than those on Nb₂O₅.



Fig. 12. FT-IR absorption bands of OH groups of the NbOPO₄ desorbed at different temperatures: (a) 300 K, (b) 423 K, (c) 573 K, (d) 673 K and (e) 773 K.



Fig. 13. FT-IR spectra for methanol adsorption and desorption on Nb₂O₅ catalyst at different temperatures: (a) 300 K, (b) 373 K, (c) 473 K, (d) 573 K and (e) 673 K.

3.4.3. Methanol adsorption FT-IR

The FT-IR spectra for methanol adsorbed on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ are shown in Figs. 13 and 14. On Nb₂O₅, which is shown in Fig. 13, a negative band appears at 3715 cm^{-1} due to the consumption of hydroxyl groups which were bonded with methanol, accompanied by a shoulder at $3400 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ due to the hydrogen-bonded species. These features suggest the probable co-existence of molecularly adsorbed DME and CH₃O(a) (methoxy species) on the surface of Nb₂O₅. They are distinguishable by the characteristic symmetric ($\nu_s(CH_3)$) and antisymmetric ($\nu_{as}(CH_3)$) CH₃ stretching frequencies: 2829 and 2927 cm^{-1} for CH₃O(a), which are in good agreement with the results reported in the literature [38,39]. The band observed at 2955 cm^{-1} could be assigned to the $v_{as}(CH_3)$ vibration mode of molecularly adsorbed DME species formed by methanol dehydration [40]. The band at 2884 cm^{-1} is due to the C–H vibration of $2\delta(CH_3)$ bending mode. In the region of low wave number, the δ (CH₃) bending vibrations led to bands at 1452 and 1439 cm⁻¹



Fig. 14. FT-IR spectra for methanol adsorption and desorption on NbOPO₄ catalyst at different temperatures: (a) 300 K, (b) 373 K, (c) 473 K, (d) 573 K and (e) 673 K.

and the $\rho(CH_3)$ rocking mode is seen at 1154 cm⁻¹. In addition, a strong absorption band due to the C–O stretching mode of CH₃O(a) is visible at 1101 cm⁻¹, reflecting the presence of terminal methoxy adsorption species on the Nb₂O₅ surface. The band at 1616 cm⁻¹ is due to the molecular adsorbed H₂O on Nb₂O₅, which might be produced via methanol dehydration on the surface. Below the 1000 cm⁻¹ region, the bands could not be observed due to the strong background of CaF₂ windows.

The features of these peaks indicate that most of the methanol is dissociatively adsorbed to form methoxy species most likely acting as on-top adsorbed species, and a small amount of methoxy species might dehydrate to DME adsorbed on the surface of Nb₂O₅. Upon evacuation at elevated temperature, the intensities of all these bands decrease, indicating the removal of the surface species. Upon desorbing at 673 K for 0.5 h, all the bands disappeared or became extremely weak.

Similar band features could be observed on NbOPO₄ (see Fig. 14), although the bands below 1300 cm^{-1} could not be observed due to the strong background of NbOPO₄. The bands in the C–H stretching region on NbOPO₄ shifted to higher wave numbers than for Nb₂O₅. This probably suggests that the methoxy species adsorb more strongly on P atoms than on Nb atoms, since P exhibits a higher electronegativity value (2.2) than Nb (1.6) and attracts electrons more strongly, which results in weaker O–C bonding and enhanced C–H bonding in methoxy species. This observation is in agreement with the results of methanol TPD.

3.4.4. DME adsorption FT-IR

The adsorption of DME on alumina [41], H-ZSM-5 [42], ZrO₂ [43], etc. has been studied by FT-IR. Chen et al. reported that DME was molecularly adsorbed on alumina surface at low temperature (150 K) while it formed surface methoxy species when heated to temperatures higher than 250 K [41]. Feng and Yao suggested that the molecularly adsorbed DME was moderately dissociated on a dehydroxylated ZrO₂ surface, while it rapidly dissociated and no molecularly adsorbed DME could be observed on a fully hydroxylated ZrO₂ surface [43]. Fujino et al. suggested that DME molecules adsorbed on OH groups of H-ZSM-5 via hydrogen bonding irrespective of their acidity, and that the oxonium ions of DME were not produced on the studied surface [42].

The FT-IR results for DME adsorption on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ samples are shown in Figs. 15 and 16. In the case of NbOPO₄ (Fig. 16), the adsorption of DME caused an intense negative band at 3660 cm⁻¹ which is surely due to the consumption of hydroxyl groups, suggesting DME adsorption on the hydroxyl groups of NbOPO₄. On Nb₂O₅, this negative band feature was not apparent, perhaps because of the low density of OH groups on Nb₂O₅ (see Fig. 11) after pretreatment by outgassing at 673 K.

In the wave number region corresponding to C–H stretching, the bands at 2954 ($\nu_{as}(CH_3)$), 2880 ($2\delta(CH_3)$) and 2841 ($\nu_s(CH_3)$) cm⁻¹ were observed on Nb₂O₅ (Fig. 15). The bands in the δ (C–H) region are also detectable at 1458 and 1471 cm⁻¹ due to δ (CH₃) bending vibration mode. The bands observed at 1252 and 1151 cm⁻¹ are due to the γ (CH₃) vibration mode



Fig. 15. FT-IR spectra for dimethyl ether adsorption and desorption on Nb_2O_5 catalyst at different temperatures: (a) 298 K, (b) 373 K, (c) 473 K, (d) 573 K and (e) 673 K.

and $\rho(CH_3)$ rocking mode, respectively. The band observed at $1047 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is due to the $v_{as}(CO)$ stretching mode [44]. Since these bands appeared at different wave numbers compared to the methoxy species formed by methanol adsorption, these band features can therefore be assigned to the C-H stretching modes of DME molecularly coordinated to a Lewis acid site on the surface, which is in agreement with the observations on ZrO_2 [40]. It is noted that there was also a weak band at 1616 cm^{-1} due to the scissoring vibration mode of molecular adsorbed water, which suggests that a small amount of DME was dissociatively adsorbed on the hydroxyl groups and thus formed H₂O. This might proceed by a mechanism in which DME molecules first react with an acidic proton to form a methanol molecule and a methyl group, and then the methanol thus formed can react with another Brønsted acid site to form $H_2O(g)$ and a second methyl group [45]. However, the bands for methoxy species could not be observed, suggesting that the amount of dissociatively adsorbed DME is very small.



Fig. 16. FT-IR spectra for dimethyl ether adsorption and desorption on NbOPO₄ catalyst at different temperatures: (a) 298 K, (b) 373 K, (c) 473 K, (d) 573 K and (e) 673 K.

Similar bands were observed on NbOPO₄, indicating a similar adsorption situation. The bands for NbOPO₄ were shifted to higher wave numbers and exhibited higher intensities than on Nb₂O₅, implying the stronger interaction of adsorbed DME with NbOPO₄ than with Nb₂O₅.

Upon outgassing at 473 K, most of the bands disappeared on Nb₂O₅, while it seemed that some DME still remained on NbOPO₄, indicating again that DME is more strongly adsorbed on NbOPO₄ than on Nb₂O₅. A new band appearing at 1120 cm^{-1} upon outgassing at 473 K on Nb₂O₅ was not identified.

3.5. Reaction activity

The results for the dehydration of methanol to DME on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ catalysts are shown in Fig. 17. As a comparison, the results obtained on H-ZSM-5 and γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts in a previous work in our group are also given [9]. It can be seen that Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ are much less active than H-ZSM-5, since the conversion of methanol on H-ZSM-5 reached the equilibrium at a temperature as low as 423 K [9], while the conversions on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were only 0.8 and 3.2%, respectively. Below 553 K, Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were much more active than γ -Al₂O₃, and among them NbOPO₄ was more active than Nb₂O₅. For example, at 513 K, the conversions of methanol on γ -Al₂O₃, Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were 3.0, 12.8 and 28.5%, respectively. The activity of γ -Al₂O₃ increased quickly with increasing reaction temperature, while the activity of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ increased relatively slowly. The activity of NbOPO₄ reached a maximum at 593 K and then decreased with a further increase in temperature, which is due to the equilibrium limitation since the methanol dehydration reaction is exothermic. DME was the only organic product observed in the entire temperature range employed in this reaction, and no hydrocarbon by-products were detected on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄. Moreover, no obvious deactivation of the Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ catalysts could be observed during the time (12 h) over which the experiments were carried out. Moreover, very little coke was formed



Fig. 17. Conversion of methanol at different temperatures over Nb₂O₅, NbOPO₄, H-ZSM-5 and γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts (the activities of H-ZSM-5 and γ -Al₂O₃ from the literature [9] are depicted as a comparison).

on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ after dehydration reaction. Comparatively, on H-ZSM-5, organic by-products were detected above 513 K, and the conversion of methanol decreased with the time on stream [9,11].

The activity of a suitable dehydration catalyst should be sufficient but not too high in order to prevent the formation of hydrocarbon products, which causes coke deposition and deactivation of the catalysts. The results suggest that even though the activities of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ in the methanol dehydration reaction were lower than that of H-ZSM-5, they showed 100% selectivity to DME and good stability. Thus, Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ could be used as dehydration catalysts.

Note that the surface area of NbOPO₄ was much larger than that of Nb₂O₅, but the methanol dehydration activity of NbOPO₄ was only slightly higher than that of Nb₂O₅ especially at high temperature. It has been reported that the strength of Lewis acid sites on γ -Al₂O₃ was decreased upon the adsorption of water [46], and the partial pressure of water had a strong negative effect on the activity of γ -Al₂O₃ for the dehydration of methanol to DME [7]. Thus, the observed behavior could be ascribed to the stronger adsorption of H₂O and DME as well as the higher stability of methoxy species on NbOPO₄ than Nb₂O₅, as identified by the results of adsorption microcalorimetry and adsorption FT-IR experiments. In the methanol dehydration reaction, water, DME and methanol might comparatively adsorb on the acid sites of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄. Since water and DME are products of the methanol dehydration reaction, strongly adsorbed water and DME might occupy some active acid sites on NbOPO₄ and therefore decrease the methanol dehydration activity, which is in agreement with the results reported by Bandiera and Naccache [47].

4. Conclusions

Amorphous Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ samples were synthesized. The results of microcalorimetry and FT-IR for NH₃ adsorption showed that NbOPO₄ is much more acidic than Nb₂O₅ due to its high surface area, and that both Brønsted and Lewis acid sites are present on the surface of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄. Water adsorption microcalorimetry results indicated that a small amount of water was strongly adsorbed on the Lewis acid sites of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄, while most of the water was adsorbed by physical adsorption. The results of methanol adsorption microcalorimetry and methanol adsorption FT-IR suggest that methanol was mainly strongly dissociatively adsorbed on the surface of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ to form methoxy species as well as small amounts of DME and water molecularly adsorbed on the surface as products of the dehydration of methoxy species, as confirmed by the methanol TPD results. DME adsorption microcalorimetry and adsorption DME FT-IR showed that DME was mainly molecularly chemisorbed on Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄, while a small amount of DME could also be dissociatively adsorbed. NbOPO4 exhibited stronger interaction with the probe molecules (NH₃, methanol, H₂O and DME) than Nb₂O₅. In the reaction of methanol dehydration, although the activities of Nb₂O₅ and NbOPO₄ were lower than that of H-ZSM-5, they exhibited 100% selectivity to the DME product and good stability of the activities, without coke formation.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial supports from the French Ministry of Education, the CNRS-France, NSFC (20673055) and MSTC (2004DFB02900 and 2005CB221400).

References

- T. Shikada, K. Fujimoto, M. Miyauchi, H. Tominaga, Appl. Catal. 7 (1983) 361–368.
- [2] W.W. Kaeding, S.A. Butter, J. Catal. 61 (1980) 155-164.
- [3] G.Y. Cai, Z.M. Liu, R.M. Shi, C.Q. He, L.X. Yang, C.L. Sun, Y.J. Chang, Appl. Catal. A 125 (1995) 29–38.
- [4] T.H. Fleisch, A. Basu, M.J. Gradassi, J.G. Masin, Nat. Gas Conver. IV (1997) 117–125.
- [5] J. Sehested, T. Mogelberg, T.J. Wallington, E.W. Kaiser, O.J. Nielsen, J. Phys. Chem. 100 (1996) 17218–17225.
- [6] J.C. Woodhouse, US Patent 2,014,408 (1935).
- [7] M.T. Xu, J.H. Lunsford, D.W. Goodman, A. Bhattacharyya, Appl. Catal. A 149 (1997) 289–301.
- [8] J.H. Kim, M.J. Park, S.J. Kim, O.S. Joo, K.D. Jung, Appl. Catal. A 264 (2004) 37–41.
- [9] Y.C. Fu, T. Hong, J.P. Chen, A. Auroux, J.Y. Shen, Thermochim. Acta 434 (2005) 22–26.
- [10] W.K. Bell, C.D. Chang, US Patent 4,423,155 (1983).
- [11] T. Takeguchi, K. Yanagisawa, T. Inui, M. Inoue, Appl. Catal. A 192 (2000) 201–209.
- [12] J. Topp-Jorgensen, US Patent 4,536,485 (1985).
- [13] G. Pagani, US Patent 4,098,809 (1978).
- [14] G.C. Chinchen, J.R. Jennings, US Patent 4,863,894 (1989).
- [15] V. Vishwanathan, K.W. Jun, J.W. Kim, H.S. Roh, Appl. Catal. A 276 (2004) 251–255.
- [16] K. Tanabe, Mater. Chem. Phys. 17 (1987) 217-225.
- [17] J.-M. Jehng, I.E. Wachs, Catal. Today 8 (1990) 37-55.
- [18] K. Tanabe, Catal. Today 8 (1990) 1-11.
- [19] I. Nowak, M. Ziolek, Chem. Rev. 99 (1999) 3603-3624.
- [20] M. Ziolek, Catal. Today 78 (2003) 47-64.
- [21] T. Armaroli, G. Busca, C. Carlini, M. Giuttari, A.M.R. Galletti, G. Sbrana, J. Mol. Catal. A 151 (2000) 233–243.
- [22] S. Okazaki, M. Kurimata, T. Iizuka, K. Tanabe, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 60 (1987) 37–41.
- [23] N.K. Mal, M. Fujiwara, Chem. Commun. (2002) 2702-2703.
- [24] A. Auroux, Top. Catal. 4 (1997) 71–89.
- [25] Q. Sun, A. Auroux, J. Shen, J. Catal. 244 (2006) 1–9.
 - [26] J. Cortes, M. Jensen, P. Araya, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. I 82 (1986) 1351–1355.
 - [27] Y.I. Tarasevich, V.E. Polyakov, A.A. Serdan, G.V. Lisichkin, Colloid J. 66 (2004) 592–597.
 - [28] H. Thamm, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. I 85 (1989) 1-9.
 - [29] B. Fubini, V. Bolis, A. Cavenago, E. Garrone, P. Ugliengo, Langmuir 9 (1993) 2712–2720.
 - [30] J. Janchen, J. van Wolput, W.J.M. Van Well, H. Stach, Thermochim. Acta 379 (2001) 213–225.
 - [31] V. Bolis, C. Busco, P. Ugliengo, J. Phys. Chem. B 110 (2006) 14849– 14859.
 - [32] C.C. Lee, R.J. Gorte, W.E. Farneth, J. Phys. Chem. B 101 (1997) 3811–3817.
- [33] V. Bolis, A. Cavenago, B. Fubini, Langmuir 13 (1997) 895–902.
- [34] M.A. Natal-Santiago, J.A. Dumesic, J. Catal. 175 (1998) 252-268.
- [35] G. Busca, P.F. Rossi, V. Lorenzelli, M. Benaissa, J. Travert, J.C. Lavalley, J. Phys. Chem. 89 (1985) 5433–5439.
- [36] Y.W. Han, H. Zou, J.Y. Shen, W.J. Ji, Y. Chen, Chem. Lett. (1998) 1179–1180.

- [37] J.C. Lavalley, J. Caillod, J. Chim. Phys. Phys. Chim. Biol. 77 (1980) 373–377.
- [38] W.C. Wu, C.C. Chuang, J.L. Lin, J. Phys. Chem. B 104 (2000) 8719–8724.
- [39] V. Boiadjiev, W.T. Tysoe, Chem. Mater. 10 (1998) 334–344.
- [40] F. Ouyang, S. Yao, J. Phys. Chem. B 104 (2000) 11253–11257.
- [41] J.G. Chen, P. Basu, T.H. Ballinger, J.T. Yates, Langmuir 5 (1989) 352–356.
- [42] T. Fujino, M. Kashitani, J.N. Kondo, K. Domen, C. Hirose, M. Ishida, F. Goto, F. Wakabayashi, J. Phys. Chem. 100 (1996) 11649–11653.
- [43] Q.Y. Feng, S.L. Yao, J. Phys. Chem. B 104 (2000) 11253-11257.
- [44] E. Finocchio, M. Daturi, C. Binet, J.C. Lavalley, G. Blanchard, Catal. Today 52 (1999) 53–63.
- [45] P. Cheung, A. Bhan, G.J. Sunley, E. Iglesia, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45 (2006) 1617–1620.
- [46] M. Li, J. Shen, J. Catal. 205 (2002) 248–258.
- [47] J. Bandiera, C. Naccache, Appl. Catal. 69 (1991) 139–148.